

EDSIG BOARD MEETING

New Orleans, LA

February 26, 2010

The meeting was called to order by JANICKI at 140pm on Friday, February 26, 2010 with Don COLTON, Al HARRIS, Scott HUNSINGER, Tom JANICKI, Kevin JETTON, Mary LIND, Brenda MCALEER, George NEZLEK, Alan PESLAK, Pat SENDALL, Li-Jen SHANNON, and Michael SMITH were in attendance. Mike BATTIG, Susan KRUCK and Wendy CECCUCCI were absent due to inclement weather in the Northeast.

Bruce WHITE used Skype to participate in the discussion of the model curriculum update.

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION OF NEW MEMBERS

- JANICKI welcomed everyone to New Orleans.
- Board members introduced themselves and brought everyone up-to-date with what is going on with their lives and what they look forward to discussing at the meeting.
- JANICKI thanked various members for their accomplishments on behalf of EDSIG in the last year.

GOALS/MISSION OF EDSIG

- JANICKI reminded us of the things from last year's meeting that we considered focuses for this year and invited members to bring up any new items for focus in the coming year. Ideas that were brought up include:
 - Grow the annual meeting, increase revenue, and reduce costs. Get a better handle on accounting.
 - Search engine optimization so our papers appear higher in searches.
 - ISECON agreement between FITE and ISECON to replace the one that expires this year. JANICKI spoke of progress that has already been made in this area. COLTON recounted some of the history of the agreement.
 - Model curriculum 2010.
 - Technology to support on-line journal.
 - Identifying reasons for addressing drop-off in membership and what we can do to recruit new people to attend ISECON/CONISAR and join EDSIG and remain a member.
 - Virtual conferences and the hotel, equipment and technical issues associated with it.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- Minutes from last November's meeting, which were distributed a few days ago by JANICKI, were approved.
- SMITH announced that he is now posting old minutes on-line and can/will be posting other documents soon.

OFFICER/COMMITTEE REPORTS

MEMBERSHIP REPORT

- HUNSINGER reported that membership has increased to 359 members. He questioned why membership used to be so much higher (500+?) while attendance at ISECON has been stable. HARRIS and HUNSINGER have been cooperating on getting to and analyzing the data. There was some discussion on possible causes – how easy it used to be to sign up through AITP, schools no longer funding membership, co-authors only sending one representative to conference, etc.
- HUNSINGER speculated about the reasons for which people join the organization and invited discussion

of other ways to add value—recruiting and retention. How can we reach educators that don't normally attend? HUNSINGER brought up some ideas such as giving a discount to veteran attendees who bring a new attendee, asking each board member to bring a colleague, etc. How do we get people to not be one-and-only attendees? More interaction with attendees before time to register for the next conference? Getting new attendees involved with the organization in ways that interest them? Organize around major themes at the conference and get attendees interacting with each other there so they feel they are part of a community and then sign up for a “committee” (maybe virtual). JANICKI invited members to keep making suggestions to HUNSINGER.

- HUNSINGER passed out copies of the EDSIG survey results from last November and spoke about some of the results regarding why people came, other conferences attended, whether colleagues would be interested in attending and why, attitudes about workshops, ideas for improving workshops and panels, increasing awareness of cases among attendees, things attendees indicated were of interest to them for future conferences, journal acceptance rate importance, what people likes most/least about ISECON and CONISAR. In general, cost is a major issue. HUNSINGER pointed out that survey responders are not necessarily representative of **all** attendees.
- There was some discussion of the idea that a given participant could only present one paper at the conference. Perhaps this would encourage more authors to attend.
- There was some discussion of the need to appeal to more young and junior tenure-track faculty as a publication venue. What would matter—journal acceptance rate, being in Cabell's, “impact”?
- HUNSINGER asked whether we could get a contact list of all IS educators.
- HUNSINGER brought up ideas such as distributing high quality items such as thumb drives, ways to get our ISEDJ/JISAR pubs higher in Google search and to get authors to cite papers from them more, surveying people who did not attend last year, decreasing registration fees, etc.
- HUNSINGER reported that the AITP/EDSIG Facebook group appears to be inactive and that perhaps we should use it to draw in some members.
- COLTON suggested we create ways of letting members indicate their interests on their badges as a way to encourage conversation.
- HUNSINGER will share his reports and a list of suggestions he and PESLAK put together with SMITH for posting on the website. In future, HUNSINGER will share survey results with everyone electronically in advance.
- *JANICKI asked HUNSINGER to put together a list of his “top five” suggestions for consideration by the board tomorrow.*

2009 CONFERENCE SUMMARY

- JETTON distributed copies and explained his report on the 2009 conferences. He fielded questions on various items.
- Concerns were expressed regarding ISECON/CONISAR paying \$1,000 for the conference registration system usage when we paid for development and other EDSIG members provide products and services for “free”. *JETTON reported that we will review the agreement going forward.*
- Of particular interest--our new “virtual terminal” feature in Paypal helped us avoid problems running international cards through PayPal.
- There was some question regarding EDSIG Fellows. Are we splitting the income but paying all the expenses? *JETTON will revisit this issue in the spreadsheet.*
- HARRIS had a question about two of the comments. *JETTON will follow up.*
- JETTON reported that EDSIG net income was \$8,431.34. He presented a check for that amount to MCALEER.
- There was some discussion of who has access to the AITP system for maintaining memberships.

JANICKI will look into this. Also who is an admin to the AITP-EDSIG facebook group and SENDALL will take care of this.

- NEZLEK discussed the outcomes of the PhD symposium. Nobody attended just for the symposium. He discussed his plans for the symposium for the coming year.
 - Presentation skills workshop
 - Best student presentation award and how that would work. Suggestions were made about how to better evaluate presentations. Should we do different awards for PhD and masters papers?
 - Would like to have KRUCK repeat HARRIS'S talk about publishing.
 - Find schools that might have positions to come do informal interviews. JANICKI pointed out that we talked about a jobs database a few years back but didn't do it because of the economy. Nevertheless, this is a major draw for conferences.
 - In general, a more structured environment for student attendees.
- JANICKI wondered whether we could come up with some advertising that we could distribute to PhD students to let them know that we have events for them.
- *NEZLEK will e-mail to members his summary of the PhD symposium from 2009.*

FITE UPDATE

- JETTON distributed copies of FITE's major goals and activities in the past year and explained various points on the report. He commented that what's on the website is wrong and he had to dig to produce these correct numbers.
- JETTON reported that FITE is awaiting criteria for the PhD scholarship proposed by ISECON/CONISAR and listed those criteria. We need all this to be thought through and explained on the website. There was some discussion of how much money would be rewarded and what deadlines for applications would be.
- JETTON reported that totals funds in the endowment are about \$450K but it is in many pots, owned by various organizations and some funds have restricted uses.
- *SHANNON and SENDALL and PESLAK volunteered to help JETTON work out these details.*

AITP/EDSIG/FITE LIAISON UPDATE

- PESLAK reported that he had spoken with Debra Lovell in December about what AITP was up to and that there are great opportunities for EDSIG to cooperate with industry. Not much communication since.
- PESLAK reported that AITP would like to find another EDSIG member to join their student awards committee. Perhaps it would need to be an AITP faculty adviser or, better, somebody who doesn't have a chapter but knows about what AITP student chapters do. Could we ask someone who ran for EDSIG board but did not get elected? *SMITH will try to find the ballot that CECCUCCI sent out to get those names and report them back to the board.* There was some discussion mostly among PESLAK and JETTON about the different stakeholder groups that have an interest in this process.

AITP PRESIDENT'S INITIATIVES

- JANICKI reported that he had received no report from LEIDIG regarding the AITP president's initiatives but that he knew LEIDIG had been active in this area.

BY-LAW CHANGES (INTRODUCTION)

- SMITH presented a list of proposed changes to the by-laws. There was some discussion of the various proposals to give guidance to his committee largely involving term limits, operating under standing rules, electing more members at large, and the electoral process.

MODEL CURRICULUM UPDATE

- WHITE (via Skype) shared the thoughts of his group on the model curriculum. He and LEIDIG went to Phoenix to talk to AIS/ACM about getting EDSIG back to the table. They suggested that if we wanted to be involved we could create a “subwiki” to their “wiki” hosted by Bentley but we cannot be part of their organizational structure.
- There was some discussion of doing something at ISECON 2010 that is IS 2010 oriented – a panel discussion perhaps or discussion on particular courses. Perhaps we could have a special track on that and create a readings publication maybe under JISE auspices on particular course(s).
- There was some question and answer regarding their process and how we wound up outside of it and the relative contributions of various people to IS 2010 and the direction of AIS toward AACSB (7 courses) away from ABET, which is now being supported by ACM/IEEE and keeps the 10 course sequence.
- There was the some discussion of when the break between us and IS curriculum development occurred and do we want to operate closer to what they are doing or strike out on our own path. There was extensive discussion of several options:
 - Create our own curriculum.
 - Take a part of IS 2010 and contribute
 - Have nothing to do with it

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting was adjourned for the day by JANICKI at 600pm.

RECONVENING

The meeting was reconvened by JANICKI at 850am on Saturday, February 27, 2010 with Mike BATTIG, Don COLTON, Al HARRIS, Scott HUNSINGER, Tom JANICKI, Kevin JETTON, Mary LIND, Brenda MCALEER, George NEZLEK, Alan PESLAK, Pat SENDALL, Li-Jen SHANNON, and Michael SMITH were in attendance. Susan KRUCK and Wendy CECCUCCI were absent due to inclement weather in the Northeast.

Susan KRUCK used Skype to participate in the discussion of JISE.

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION OF NEW MEMBERS

- BATTIG introduced himself.
- JANICKI went over the revised agenda for the day.

AGENDA ITEMS

JISE UPDATE

- KRUCK discussed the report on JISE that she submitted.
 - Website and e-mail is now university independent: JISE.ORG.
 - JISE will now be indexed by the GALE group. She reported on several things she had been learning about administration.
- JANICKI invited KRUCK to discuss ways in which EDSIG could support JISE administratively while staying out of editorial matters.
- KRUCK reported that, so far, JMU's support for administration and mailing is not finalized.
- HARRIS reported on the types of subscribers that we mail issues to. *KRUCK will take up the matter of increasing the number of subscriptions this summer.*
- MCALEER reported the financial results for JISE for the last year. HARRIS added that those figures do not include a large chunk of EDSIG dues that go to JISE. Cost/person is 24-26\$/year to publish. HARRIS reported some of the details of costs of publication such as printing, mailing, various fees, etc. Also that subscriptions are declining. HARRIS stated that there are no ISECON revenues per se coming to JISE. *MCALEER will revise the financial results to reflect the EDSIG contribution/member.*
- *JANICKI invited KRUCK to make a JISE publication presentation at ISECON perhaps this also being part of the PhD symposium. KRUCK reported that she'd received an e-mail from NEZLEK on that and would be happy to.*
- SMITH asked whether they were using any software to organize submissions and editing. They are not, preferring the "personal touch". She does maintain a database of reviewers, however.
- JANICKI held forth on the utility of more detailed accounting of EDSIG contribution to JISE. There was some discussion the whole AITP/EDSIG/JISE.
 - Some facts that came out:
 - If a person pays the \$65 dollar fee for EDSIG only. \$5 goes to processing. \$30 goes to AITP. \$30 comes to EDSIG.
 - If a person pays \$105 for AITP and \$20 for EDSIG then \$20 only goes to EDSIG.
 - JETTON discussed the reporting options available through AITP for all this. *MCALEER will request this. EDSIG board may press AITP to amend the allocation once we understand it.*
 - HARRIS reported that AITP grants lifetime memberships to some people, which include JISE but we do not receive any money for that. JETTON stated that that was probably ten or fewer people and that we could request a list of names from AITP. He also listed the ways someone might become a lifetime member and the various levels of lifetime membership.
 - JETTON also mentioned that, if one is an AITP/EDSIG member, they could start earning points for

these higher award level if only we were members of a local professional chapter that normally has someone to document all these points. He advocated that someone in EDSIG do this. *JANICKI will add this to the list of things for the technology group to take up.*

MODEL CURRICULUM

- There was discussion of our three options.
 - LIND presented some thoughts she had on integrating options into the IS 2010 model based in part on the work of the “New American University” documented on Arizona State University's website.
 - NEZLEK brought forth the idea of proposing enhancements that would be more appropriate for schools interested in ABET accreditation.
- ***NEZLEK moved that EDSIG work within the IS 2010 model curriculum framework and to extend and enhance it. Seconded by SHANNON and PESLAK. Question was called by MACALEER. Motion passed unanimously.***
- JANICKI invited discussion on the nature of our work within the IS 2010 model curriculum. Some possible activities:
 - Examine the American University Model
 - ABET certification
 - WHITE's two extra courses
 - Focus track areas
- JANICKI added this issue as a late afternoon roundtable topic. LIND and NEZLEK and others will take this up.
- JANICKI read out the record of EDSIG actions at last March's meeting related to support for LONGENECKER's C-PATH NSF grant proposal.

FELLOWS UPDATE

- SENDALL reported that she had asked the fellows to come up with a proposal for new membership procedures but had gotten nothing from them so she came up with her own.
- SENDALL reported on expenses/lost revenues to EDSIG resulting from the Fellows Program. She presented options for EDSIG financial support for the program under certain assumptions.

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting was temporarily adjourned by JANICKI at 1000am for a tour of the hotel.

RECONVENING

The meeting was reconvened by JANICKI at 1100 on Saturday, February 27, 2010 with Mike BATTIG, Don COLTON, Al HARRIS, Scott HUNSINGER, Tom JANICKI, Kevin JETTON, Mary LIND, Brenda MCALEER, George NEZLEK, Alan PESLAK, Pat SENDALL, Li-Jen SHANNON, and Michael SMITH were in attendance. Susan KRUCK and Wendy CECCUCCI were absent due to inclement weather in the Northeast.

Wendy CECCUCCI used Skype to participate in the discussion of ISECON/CONISAR 2010.

AGENDA ITEMS

FELLOWS UPDATE CONTINUED

- SENDALL presented an AITP EDSIG Fellows Nominations and Selection Procedures document. She reviewed document and provided some details about how it worked last year and how it should work this year. There was some discussion. Suggestions were made by board members regarding the process, clarification of wording of the document and how the process might work in practice. SENDALL made appropriate changes to the document.
- ***SENDALL moved that the EDSIG board accept the AITP EDSIG Fellows Nominations and Selection Procedures document as changed at this meeting as a standing rule Motion was seconded by MACALEER. Motion passed unanimously.***
- JETTON suggested that the board formulate a lifetime EDSIG membership status exclusive of AITP lifetime membership. We would pay nothing for this. We would this information to AITP. SENDALL remarked then that this would be lost revenue instead of an expense for us.
- SENDALL reviewed her financial model of the program based on three new fellows every year. She provided two plans differing in conference discounts, JISE subscriptions, food cost, etc. There was some discussion of what financial option we should pursue regarding lifetime EDSIG memberships. Consensus was to create only free EDSIG membership and a JISE subscription and to take up the matter after the general budget discussion this afternoon.

ISECON/CONISAR 2010 UPDATE – PLANNING/TEAMS

- Wendy discussed some of the things she will do to publicize the conference. JANICKI suggested that she contact last year's volunteers and ask them to reprise their roles at this year's conference (or not to). Various board members brought up names of people she could contact.
- HUNSINGER pointed out that survey results from last year indicated that postcards had not been effective.
- JANICKI and HARRIS and COLTON pointed out possible other sources of people to invite.
- *CECCUCCI will work on her key volunteers and e-mail the Board a list of volunteers within a few weeks.*
- *JETTON will adapt the 2009 information for vendors to create a draft of the 2010 document regarding pricing and entitlements. The sooner this is done the better. JANICKI gave her some advice regarding working with vendors and also to find a volunteer who works at a nearby school to get local book reps to show up.*
- *JETTON asked CECCUCCI for her June travel plans for conference planning session and she will provide them today.*

ROUNDTABLES

- The board broke into groups for roundtables on specific issues.
 - By-laws
 - Small schools

- FITE needs for PhD scholarships

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting was adjourned by JANICKI at 1200pm for lunch.

RECONVENING

The meeting was reconvened by JANICKI at 115pm on Saturday, February 27, 2010 with Mike BATTIG, Don COLTON, Al HARRIS, Scott HUNSINGER, Tom JANICKI, Kevin JETTON, Mary LIND, Brenda MCALEER, George NEZLEK, Alan PESLAK, Pat SENDALL, Li-Jen SHANNON, and Michael SMITH were in attendance. Susan KRUCK and Wendy CECCUCCI were absent.

AGENDA ITEMS

EDUCATOR OF THE YEAR AWARD

- PESLAK updated the board on the selection of this year's Educator of the Year, including various correspondences (and the lack). He mentioned several possibilities. PESLAK made a specific recommendation. There was much discussion of the criteria for the honor and who might deserve it.
- PESLAK proposed that the board create a short list of names. He would do the research on those people and circulate an e-mail vote to the board soon. JANICKI proposed that board members who want to nominate someone create a bio of that person and submit the name and bio to PESLAK.
- Board members called out various names to PESLAK. SENDALL gathered the names. PESLAK will research them. PESLAK will send out the list next week. He asked that board members who have other name(s) to submit send them within two weeks. If he learns that anyone should not be on the list, he will take them off. If the person selected does not accept, we will offer to the award to the next vote-getter, etc.

SMALL COMMITTEE REPORTS

- JANICKI reported that the by-laws and member groups wanted to meet later to do more work.
- SENDALL reported the suggestions of the PhD scholarship group regarding who applicants may be, time line, evaluation metrics, recommended amounts and number to be awarded each year. JANICKI requested explanation of the work of the scholarship committee. Board members gave feedback on the suggestions and SENDALL updated the draft. *She will refine the document and submit it to the board by e-mail for electronic vote soon.*

BUDGET REPORT FOR 2009 AND PROPOSAL FOR 2010

- MCALEER explained some of the challenges she faced sorting out last year's spending. She explained the 2009 report and what our balance will be once all pending items have cleared--\$40,363.00. JANICKI also explained some features of the 2009 report and requested items associated with model curriculum efforts be separated out. HARRIS also had some suggestions regarding separate line items for JISE income. JANICKI asked for EDSIG Fellows spending also be broken out.
- MCALEER reported that the permanent mailing address will be changed to the AITP headquarters address.
- MCALEER talked about her progress getting her name and other(s) for the signature card for the account.
- ***MCALEER moved that the signature card on the account include two signatures, that of the current president and treasurer, of which only one is needed for a check. Seconded by NEZLEK. Passed unanimously.***
- HARRIS remarked and it was generally agreed that EDSIG needs someone to act as Historian.
- SENDALL will send everyone the Excel spreadsheet for expense reimbursement and SMITH will put it on the website.
- ***MCALEER moved that the board accept the 2009 financial report with changes discussed that will be made. Seconded by BATTIG. Passed unanimously.***

- MCALEER explained the origin of figures for the 08-09-10 report and answered questions. JANICKI recognized that MCALEER needs more time to create good estimates for some of the 2010 expenses. There was some discussion of the proper level of cushion to maintain.
- **JANICKI moved that the treasurer be authorized to spend money as described in the 08-09-10 report in the following categories: JISE printing (changed to read "JISE expenses" and to be 16,000), Travel EDSIG Bd (changed to 11,250), EDSIG Bd Mtgs (3,000), and Computer services and domain registration and web expenses (changed to total \$500) for a total of \$30,750. Seconded by BATTIG. Passed unanimously.**
- It was generally accepted that e-mail votes can be held to authorize spending not covered by the motion just made.
- JANICKI explained the expense policies – board members may receive up to \$750 to cover normal travel expenses associated with the annual board meeting and conference (the board meeting part of it). The president has an allowance of up to \$1500. Original receipts are needed. This is done on the calendar year.
- COLTON discussed the idea that we should consider a reimbursement policy that would ensure that no member has to spend out of their own pocket to attend the spring meeting. There was discussion on how we could do this and avoid abuse problems. Various suggestions were made and discussed.
- **JANICKI moved that the annual travel expense allowance for board members and other invitees is \$750 to cover only reasonable and customary transportation, parking, and lodging associated with the spring meeting. The treasurer and president will determine reasonable expenses in excess of the allowance. The treasurer and president may provide additional reimbursement at their discretion if airfare was booked more than 21 days in advance. This policy will be effective this calendar year. NEZLEK seconded the motion. Passed with 1 abstention.**
- There was general consensus that the previous was not a perfect motion and that we will simply have to work out the kinks as we go.

MEMBERSHIP SERVICES

- HUNSINGER presented his ideas for increasing membership and to retain existing members, among them:
 - Offering a registration discount or prize or gift certificate to people who attended last year and who bring another attendee to the current conference. Idea is to reward the people who recruit, not just the people who show up for the first time. There was some discussion of this idea and the submission of other ideas such as early bird breakfast, increasing the number of volunteers and holding some special event for them, on-line access to the last three years of JISE to EDSIG members.
 - What new services would members want? We could survey current and lapsed members to find out what kinds of things we might offer that they would consider a benefit. A syllabus site that only members can access.
 - Using the theory of planned behavior to guide an inquiry into understanding what influences people to be members and attend. This would be a survey.
 - Survey lapsed members to find out why they aren't coming.
 - Update the AITP-EDSIG Facebook group and get others to join it. Then keep good content uploaded and use it to send out messages. JANICKI suggested we send out invitations to the Facebook group with paper acceptances.
- It was suggested that we consider recruiting from some of the on-line colleges as well and from smaller schools.

FELLOWS UPDATE

- SENDELL reported that she and JETTON had discussed how she should present the numbers. She has therefore changed her presentation to be on a per person basis. She presented her new calculations which imply that the cost will not be as high as what she had presented before, the major expense being meals. The question is the real cost of lifetime EDSIG membership since this will have to be done on a person by person basis.
- The question of giving the Fellows lifetime EDSIG membership is the only thing to be decided right now. The consensus is that we are in favor of giving them lifetime membership. *SENDELL will come back to the board later with figures.*
- JETTON discussed various aspects of the necessary analysis. He will finish the analysis and then “someone” will write the appropriate letter to AITP.

REVISITING THE BUDGET REPORT FOR 2009 AND PROPOSAL FOR 2010

MCALEER moved that we increase the 2010 budget line item for Travel EDSIG Bd from 11250 to 14000 (an increase of 2750) making total expenses 33,500, to allow for the change in travel allowance approved earlier. SHANNON seconded. Approved with 1 abstention.

2011/2012 CONFERENCES

- JETTON reported that he had not been able to visit Wilmington to see the 2011 venue but that Tom had attended a conference there and got an idea of how the hotel would work during a conference. He discussed various possible approaches to get the cost down. \$139 is the current working contract rate per room per person. Almost all items are now nailed down. Thursday 3 November – Sunday 6 November will be the event dates. Old issues about connections and airfare seem to have disappeared. JETTON has received no big push back from anyone. He is working to reach a reasonable agreement and get a contract signed as soon as possible.
- JANICKI polled members on event alternatives: river cruise vs. clam bake. He is also trying to find a vendor to sponsor a water taxi ride across the river to the battleship.
- JETTON brought up holding ISECON/CONISAR 2012 here at the Monteleone in New Orleans. The proposed rate is \$159 now and JETTON will press for \$139. JETTON reported that we were at \$154 in DC.
- COLTON brought up the issue of people getting around in this somewhat labyrinthine hotel—lots of signage will be necessary.
- JETTON discussed the changes he has made to the proposed room use in the hotel. There was general agreement on the suitability of the relatively small rooms for sessions. Also there is no need for us to plan anything in the evenings.
- It was the consensus of the board that JETTON continue to work to secure this location for ISECON 2012 on the first weekend of November.
- JANICKI asked members to try to come in Wednesday afternoon in Nashville so we can meet that evening before the conference.

JOURNAL ACCEPTANCE RATES

- JANICKI stated that we have had some complaints about our journal acceptance rates. He had a talk with HARRIS about how this is computed, who said that major journals considered a revise and resubmit with major revisions that is actually resubmitted as a new submission, thus raising their number of submissions (and lowering their acceptance rate). We have not been doing this with our journals.

- JANICKI presented an analysis of our acceptance rate using the same system the major journals use.
- *It was the consensus that we should verify the formula that major journals use and, if it turns out to be true, adopt the same formula. JANICKI and COLTON will check on this*
- There was some discussion on what our acceptance rate should be in order to ensure that publication in our journals is useful to our members.

BYLAW ROUNDTABLE REPORT

- ***SMITH presented extensive changes to the by-laws to propose to the membership at ISECON 2010. Each was thoroughly discussed; changes were made and voted on by section. All motions were passed with abstentions.***
- *JANICKI and SMITH will work later to package the by-law changes for voting by the general membership at ISECON 2010.*

CURRICULUM ROUNDTABLE REPORT

MEMBERSHIP DEVELOPMENT ROUNDTABLE REPORT

- BATTIG presented proposal based on what CCSC has done. They renamed themselves and are now affiliated with the ACM library. We need to consider that type of alliance for us.
- Regarding Nashville, BATTIG talked about preparing and sending out a flier to the small regional schools with the help of the CCSC. *BATTIG will pursue this. JANICKI will send BATTIG and HUNSINGER the tentative fliers.*

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting was adjourned for the weekend by JANICKI at 615pm.